

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/893,611	FUJIWARA, AKIHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LUONG T. NGUYEN	2622	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) LUONG T. NGUYEN.

(3) _____.

(2) GARY M. JACOBS (Reg. No. 28,861).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 September 2006

Time: 1:30PM

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-2, 5-9.

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Jacobs agrees to the changes as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)